

Q40 - Evaluation Criteria: Expansion rates

- Unassessed

Q41 - Evaluation Criteria: Sensitivity

- High
 - All water/wet/damp habitats are particularly vulnerable to pollution and changes to drainage.

Q42 - Evaluation Criteria: Connectivity/Cohesion

- High
 - Cohesive fen habitat and surrounding grassland habitat

Q43 - Evaluation Criteria: Habitat Evaluation

- High
 - A valuable relatively scarce resource.

Q44 - Evaluation Criteria: Importance for key species

- Moderate
 - A limited number of records although it is considered likely that a number of other species would be recorded if more detailed surveys were undertaken.

Q45 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation Habitat and Species

- High
 - The habitats are evaluated as high and the area has potential to support a number of key species.

Q45a - Justification of overall evaluation

- Importance is recognised by SSSI designation with important habitats present.

Bibliography

Q46 - List the key sources used for this assessment

- CCW Phase 1 data (digitised), Aerial photographs (digitised), OS Raster (1:10,000), Protected species information from Cofnod, Protected sites information from CCW (digitised), SINC sites provided by Ynys Mon County Council and Cofnod (digitised), Invasive plant mapping provided by Cofnod, Ynys Mon LBAP, Ynys Mon Unitary Development Plan, Protected Sites citations (provided by CCW), Protected Sites citations from the JNCC website (www.jncc.gov.uk), Soils of England and Wales 1:250,000 (Sheet 2 Wales).

Assessment

Q47 - Additional Assessments

- N/A

Q48 - Additional Comments

- Please note that in relation to the classification the area has been classified as dry terrestrial when it is less than 75% wet terrestrial because it is more dry terrestrial than wet terrestrial but neither achieves the 75% rule. It is felt that all these habitats should be grouped together however as they complement each other in a functioning mosaic.

SURVEY DETAILS FOR YNSMNHL016 - 2024-04-25

Area Unique ID: YNSMNHL016

Aspect: Historic Landscape

Area: Fieldscape, central eastern Mon

Region: Anglesey

Survey Date: 2007-09-19

- Level 1: Rural environment
- Level 2: Agricultural
- Level 3: Irregular Fieldscapes

Monitoring

Q1 - Date of monitoring?

- 2017-03-15

Q1a - Monitoring undertaken by

- Historic Landscape change detection work completed by the relevant Welsh Archaeological Trust (WAT) for this area, the planning authority have been included. Quality Assurance of change detection work was completed by Trysor. Trysor have completed the monitoring amendments to the changed surveys and the WATs to the GIS boundaries

Q1b - Has this record been updated following monitoring work?

- This record has been updated following monitoring work, there was a real change in the aspect area

Q1c - Change indicated by

- OS Data, Aerial Photographs
- Policies, plans & information resources

Q1d - What has changed?

- Description
- Boundaries

Q1e - Has the information ever been verified in the field?

- Yes
 - Level 3.

Q2 - Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?

- Yes
 - With YNSMNHL006 as an historic route-way and the means by which many people perceive this area and with YNSMNHL079 as a similar area .

Description

Q3 - If Classification is "Other", specify here

- Empty value

Q4 - Summary Description / Key Patterns and Elements

- Most of (inland) Anglesey is still essentially rural in nature, and this large, disparate area occupies most of the island. Although there are smaller differences in the types and natures of the field patterns, and the scattered, non-nucleated settlements, mostly due to historical processes, to differentiate between them requires a more detailed study at level 4. At level, it is difficult to make decisions.

Q5 - If working at level 3, the classification describes the dominant historic pattern, but which other patterns are important to the historical pattern of this area? (Tick all that apply)

- Irregular Fieldscapes
- Regular Fieldscapes
- Other fieldscapes
- Woodland
- Marginal Land
- Non-nucleated Settlement
- Other Settlement
- Communications

Q6 - If working at level 4, which other 'details' are also significant to the historic character of this area? (Please tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q7 - If working at level 4 only, which building types prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q8 - If working at level 4 only, which periods prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q9 - If working at level 4 only, which architectural types prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q10 - If working at level 4 only, which traditional walling materials prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q11 - If working at level 4 only, which traditional roofing materials prevail in the area? (select up to three)

- No Answer

Q12 - Which traditional boundary types prevail in the area? (Tick all that apply)

- Hedgerow
- Hedgerow With Trees
- Hedgebank
- Stone-faced Drystone Wall
- Stone Slab Wall
- Earth/Turf Bank
- Stone Rubble Bank
- Cloddiau Variants/Stone & Earth Banks
- Post & Wire Fence

Q13 - What is the nature of any significant archaeological interest in the area? (Tick all that apply)

- Buried-dry
- Relict-Earthworks
- Relict-Stone Monuments
- Buildings & Structures
- Documentary
- Industrial Archaeology

Q14 - Which chronological period is dominant in the area?

- Prehistoric
- Medieval (to 1536)
- Post Medieval (1536+)
- Industrial
- Recent

Q15 - Has a Historic Landscape Characterisation been undertaken here?

- Yes
 - Landscape characterisation completed, see Longley, D, 2010, Penmon Historic Landscape, GAT Report 890, available online via GAT website.

Q16 - Are there SMR sites here?

- Yes

Q17 - Are there SAMs here?

- Yes
 - AN018 Tregwehelydd Standing Stone, AN025 Hendrefor Burial Chambers, AN037 Burial Chamber 180m NE of Pen-y-Berth, AN042 Y Werthyr Hillfort, AN048 Dinas Cadnant Hillfort, AN052 Old Parish Church & Churchyard, AN067 Carreg Leidr, AN070 Llech Golman, AN071 Llanddyfnan Standing Stone, AN073 Ty-Wyn Standing Stone, AN074 Cremlyn Standing Stones, AN075 Hendrefor Earthwork, AN076 Maen Chwyf, AN077 Llys Einion Standing Stone, AN082 Castell Near Tre-Fadog, AN083 Capel Soar Standing Stone, AN090 34][8Glan-Alaw Standing Stone, AN091 Cors-y-Bol Round Barrow, AN097 Hafoty Old Farm House, AN098 Bedd Branwen Round Cairn, AN099 Bodedern Early Christian Cemetery, AN100 Bryn Eyr Rectangular Earthwork, AN120 Capel Eithin (site of) and Cemetery, AN124 Pen-y-Fynwent Enclosure.

Q18 - Are there Listed Buildings here?

- Yes

Q19 - Are there Registered Historic Parks and Gardens here?

- No

Q20 - Are there Conservation Areas here?

- No

Q21 - Are there World Heritage Sites here?

- No

Q22 - Is the area within a Registered Landscape of Historic Interest?

- Yes

Evaluation

Q23 - Value:

- Outstanding
 - Of national value (on the whole) as a broad landscape area in which it is difficult to differentiate but which contains many disparate patterns which illustrate and exemplify Anglesey's evolution as a primarily rural area.

Q24 - Condition:

- Fair
 - 'Fair' in that the area is inevitably subject to the pressures of any rural landscape including depopulation and the redundancy of historic farm buildings.

Q25 - Trend:

- Declining
 - If anything, declining, reflecting the challenges faced by Ynys Mon in particular and by the agricultural sector generally.

Recommendations

Q26 - Existing management

- Generally Inappropriate

Q27 - Existing management remarks:

- Whilst this area is appropriately managed through the planning process, it is open to question whether this can by itself address the challenges of the agricultural sector and of demographic change.

Q28 - Principal management recommendations

- Support for existing initiatives; consideration to broader initiatives to consider retention and adaptation of historic farm building stock.

Q29 - Guideline

- Medium Term
 - Support for existing initiatives.
- Long Term
 - Consideration to broader initiatives to consider retention and adaptation of historic farm building stock.
- Long Term
 - Liaison with local government and with farming community.

Aspect Area Boundary

Q30 - To what level was this information site-surveyed?

- Level 3

Q31 - At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?

- All

Q32 - What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?

- OS Landline

Q33 - If OS Data was used, what was the scale?

- 1:10,000 and 1:25,000

Q34 - What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?

- This has acted as the 'template background character area' and areas which differ in obvious type and character at level 3 have been defined and described as separate.

Evaluation Matrix

Q35 - Evaluation Criteria: Integrity

- Outstanding
 - - for the survival of a (mainly) Victorian landscape overlying much older patterns of agriculture.

Q36 - Evaluation Criteria: Survival

- No Answer

Q37 - Evaluation Criteria: Condition

- Moderate

Q38 - Evaluation Criteria: Rarity

- Outstanding
 - - on the whole 'Outstanding', as this is the landscape that earned Anglesey the name of the granary of Wales.

Q39 - Evaluation Criteria: Potential

- High
 - - for the possibility of a radical initiative to assist the farming community and to ensure survival of the historic landscape.

Q40 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation

- Outstanding
 - - as a broad landscape area in which it is difficult to differentiate but which contains many disparate patterns which illustrate and exemplify Anglesey's evolution as a primarily rural area.

Q41 - Justification of overall evaluation

- Of national value (on the whole) as a broad landscape area in which it is difficult to differentiate but which contains many disparate patterns which illustrate and exemplify Anglesey's evolution as a primarily rural area. This is considered to justify the overall valuation even though on the basis of a strict matrix it would merit 'High'.

Bibliography

Q42 - List the key sources used for this assessment

- Refer to GAT technical report for principal references.

Assessment

Q43 - Additional Assessments

- It should be noted here that this is the Anglesey landscape which travellers to and from Holyhead experience, the immediate foreground to a view that has the Snowdonian mountains as their backdrop. Appropriate management has the potential to improve Anglesey's self-image and the way in which it is perceived. Landscape characterisation has been completed for part of this aspect area, see Longley, D, 2010, Penmon Historic Landscape, GAT Report 890, available online via GAT website.

Q44 - Additional Comments

- Note that although a Landscape Characterisation has not been completed for either the Amlwch and Parys Mountain Register landscape, nor the Penmon Register landscape, both of which form a small part of this aspect area, these are currently (2009) being prepared.

SURVEY DETAILS FOR YNSMNHL036 - 2024-04-25

Area Unique ID: YNSMNHL036

Aspect: Historic Landscape

Area: Llanerchymedd

Region: Anglesey

Survey Date: 2007-09-19

- Level 1: Built environment
- Level 2: Settlement
- Level 3: Non-nucleated Settlement

Monitoring

Q1 - Date of monitoring?

- 2017-03-15

Q1a - Monitoring undertaken by

- Historic Landscape change detection work completed by the relevant Welsh Archaeological Trust (WAT) for this area, the planning authority have been included. Quality Assurance of change detection work was completed by Trysor. Trysor have completed the monitoring amendments to the changed surveys and the WATs to the GIS boundaries

Q1b - Has this record been updated following monitoring work?

- This record has been updated following monitoring work, there was a real change in the aspect area

Q1c - Change indicated by

- OS Data, Aerial Photographs

Q1d - What has changed?

- Boundaries

Q1e - Has the information ever been verified in the field?

- Yes
 - Level 3.

Q2 - Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?

- No

Description

Q3 - If Classification is "Other", specify here

- Empty value

Q4 - Summary Description / Key Patterns and Elements

- Situated on Anglesey central railway, Llanerchymedd, originally a medieval settlement, was one of the most important market towns on Anglesey (in 1732 it comprised 50 houses). It was famous for its horse fair and clog-makers, as well as for printing and publishing. However, the importance of its market was eclipsed by that of Llangefni in the 18th century.

Q5 - If working at level 3, the classification describes the dominant historic pattern, but which other patterns are important to the historical pattern of this area? (Tick all that apply)

- Nucleated Settlement
- Non-nucleated Settlement
- Communications

Q6 - If working at level 4 ,which other 'details' are also significant to the historic character of this area? (Please tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q7 - If working at level 4 only, which building types prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q8 - If working at level 4 only, which periods prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q9 - If working at level 4 only, which architectural types prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q10 - If working at level 4 only, which traditional walling materials prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q11 - If working at level 4 only, which traditional roofing materials prevail in the area? (select up to three)

- No Answer

Q12 - Which traditional boundary types prevail in the area? (Tick all that apply)

- Boulder Wall
- Stone Rubble Bank

Q13 - What is the nature of any significant archaeological interest in the area? (Tick all that apply)

- Buildings & Structures
- Associations

Q14 - Which chronological period is dominant in the area?

- Industrial
- Recent

Q15 - Has a Historic Landscape Characterisation been undertaken here?

- No

Q16 - Are there SMR sites here?

- Yes

Q17 - Are there SAMs here?

- No

Q18 - Are there Listed Buildings here?

- Yes

Q19 - Are there Registered Historic Parks and Gardens here?

- No

Q20 - Are there Conservation Areas here?

- Yes

Q21 - Are there World Heritage Sites here?

- No

Q22 - Is the area within a Registered Landscape of Historic Interest?

- No

Evaluation

Q23 - Value:

- High
 - Of regional value for its historic market (visible in the wide main street) and for an attractive cluster of mainly 19th century buildings.

Q24 - Condition:

- Fair
 - Many of the fine Victorian buildings survive (together with some less attractive new build).

Q25 - Trend:

- Constant
 - Little change has been observed in recent years.

Recommendations

Q26 - Existing management

- Generally Appropriate

Q27 - Existing management remarks:

- Appropriately managed through the planning system, though more effort could be made to preserve the Victorian ambience of this settlement.

Q28 - Principal management recommendations

- Preservation of the Victorian ambience of this settlement.

Q29 - Guideline

- Medium Term
 - Preservation of the Victorian ambience of this settlement.
- Medium Term
 - Support for existing planning system.
- Long Term
 - Encouragement to use of appropriate forms of render and window-framing.

Aspect Area Boundary

Q30 - To what level was this information site-surveyed?

- Level 3

Q31 - At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?

- All

Q32 - What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?

- OS Landline

Q33 - If OS Data was used, what was the scale?

- 1:10,000 and 1:25,000

Q34 - What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?

- Edge of settlement.

Evaluation Matrix

Q35 - Evaluation Criteria: Integrity

- High
 - - for the wide market street and for the visual relationship of the market with the nineteenth century buildings.

Q36 - Evaluation Criteria: Survival

- No Answer

Q37 - Evaluation Criteria: Condition

- Moderate

Q38 - Evaluation Criteria: Rarity

- High
 - Although small country market towns are common in Wales, Llanerchymedd is something of a 'time-capsule' given the early decline of the market.

Q39 - Evaluation Criteria: Potential

- High
 - - for recreation of Victorian ambience.

Q40 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation

- High
 - - for its historic market (visible in the wide main street) and for an attractive cluster of mainly 19th century buildings.

Q41 - Justification of overall evaluation

- Of regional value for its historic market (visible in the wide main street) and for an attractive cluster of mainly 19th century buildings.

Bibliography

Q42 - List the key sources used for this assessment

- Refer to GAT technical report for principal sources.

Assessment

Q43 - Additional Assessments

- N/a.

Q44 - Additional Comments

- N/a.

SURVEY DETAILS FOR YNSMNHL037 - 2024-04-25

Area Unique ID: YNSMNHL037

Aspect: Historic Landscape

Area: Fieldscape, Tre-Ysgawen

Region: Anglesey

Survey Date: 2007-09-19

- Level 1: Rural environment
- Level 2: Agricultural
- Level 3: Regular Fieldscapes

Monitoring

Q1 - Date of monitoring?

- 2017-03-15

Q1a - Monitoring undertaken by

- Historic Landscape change detection work completed by the relevant Welsh Archaeological Trust for this area, the planning authority have been included. Quality Assurance of change detection work was completed by Trysor.

Q1b - Has this record been updated following monitoring work?

- This record remains unchanged following monitoring work

Q1c - Change indicated by

- No Answer

Q1d - What has changed?

- No Answer

Q1e - Has the information ever been verified in the field?

- Yes
 - Level 3.

Q2 - Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?

- No

Description

Q3 - If Classification is "Other", specify here

- Empty value

Q4 - Summary Description / Key Patterns and Elements

- This is a largish area of estate parkland, 18th/19th century in date, overlying an earlier fieldscape of organised, regular fields.

Q5 - If working at level 3, the classification describes the dominant historic pattern, but which other patterns are important to the historical pattern of this area? (Tick all that apply)

- Regular Fieldscapes
- Other fieldscapes
- Non-nucleated Settlement
- Designed Landscape

Q6 - If working at level 4, which other 'details' are also significant to the historic character of this area? (Please tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q7 - If working at level 4 only, which building types prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q8 - If working at level 4 only, which periods prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q9 - If working at level 4 only, which architectural types prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q10 - If working at level 4 only, which traditional walling materials prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q11 - If working at level 4 only, which traditional roofing materials prevail in the area? (select up to three)

- No Answer

Q12 - Which traditional boundary types prevail in the area? (Tick all that apply)

- Hedgerow With Trees
- Hedgebank
- Earth/Turf Bank

Q13 - What is the nature of any significant archaeological interest in the area? (Tick all that apply)

- Buried-dry
- Buildings & Structures

Q14 - Which chronological period is dominant in the area?

- Post Medieval (1536+)
- Recent

Q15 - Has a Historic Landscape Characterisation been undertaken here?

- No

Q16 - Are there SMR sites here?

- Yes

Q17 - Are there SAMs here?

- No

Q18 - Are there Listed Buildings here?

- Yes

Q19 - Are there Registered Historic Parks and Gardens here?

- No

Q20 - Are there Conservation Areas here?

- No

Q21 - Are there World Heritage Sites here?

- No

Q22 - Is the area within a Registered Landscape of Historic Interest?

- No

Evaluation

Q23 - Value:

- High
 - Of county value as an area of estate parkland, 18th/19th century in date, overlying an earlier fieldscape of organised, regular fields.

Q24 - Condition:

- Good
 - Well maintained by the Tre-Ysgawen hotel authorities.

Q25 - Trend:

- Constant

Recommendations

Q26 - Existing management

- Generally Appropriate

Q27 - Existing management remarks:

- The Tre-Ysgawen hotel management is anxious to maintain the area appropriately.

Q28 - Principal management recommendations

- Support for mission of the Tre-Ysgawen hotel authorities.

Q29 - Guideline

- Medium Term
 - Support for mission of the Tre-Ysgawen hotel authorities.
- Medium Term
 - Liaison with Tre-Ysgawen hotel authorities.
- Long Term
 - Liaison with farming community.

Aspect Area Boundary

Q30 - To what level was this information site-surveyed?

- Level 3

Q31 - At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?

- All

Q32 - What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?

- OS Landline

Q33 - If OS Data was used, what was the scale?

- 1:10,000 and 1:25,000

Q34 - What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?

- Edge of distinctive regular fieldscape which is different from surrounding areas.

Evaluation Matrix

Q35 - Evaluation Criteria: Integrity

- High
 - -as an 18th-19th century parkland

Q36 - Evaluation Criteria: Survival

- No Answer

Q37 - Evaluation Criteria: Condition

- High

Q38 - Evaluation Criteria: Rarity

- High
 - Perhaps 'High' rather than 'Moderate' in that although Tre-Ysgawen is not architecturally distinguished, it is unusual to see a nineteenth century estate landscape which preserves its character, in this case as an up-market hotel.

Q39 - Evaluation Criteria: Potential

- Moderate
 - - in that potential has been largely realised.

Q40 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation

- High
 - - as an area of estate parkland, 18th/19th century in date, overlying an earlier fieldscape of organised, regular fields.

Q41 - Justification of overall evaluation

- Of county value as an area of estate parkland, 18th/19th century in date, overlying an earlier fieldscape of organised, regular fields.

Bibliography

Q42 - List the key sources used for this assessment

- Refer to GAT technical report for principal references.

Assessment

Q43 - Additional Assessments

- N/a.

Q44 - Additional Comments

- N/a.

SURVEY DETAILS FOR YNSMNHL039 - 2024-04-25

Area Unique ID: YNSMNHL039

Aspect: Historic Landscape

Area: Capel Coch

Region: Anglesey

Survey Date: 2007-09-19

- Level 1: Built environment
- Level 2: Settlement
- Level 3: Non-nucleated Settlement

Monitoring

Q1 - Date of monitoring?

- 2017-03-15

Q1a - Monitoring undertaken by

- Historic Landscape change detection work completed by the relevant Welsh Archaeological Trust for this area, the planning authority have been included. Quality Assurance of change detection work was completed by Trysor.

Q1b - Has this record been updated following monitoring work?

- This record remains unchanged following monitoring work

Q1c - Change indicated by

- No Answer

Q1d - What has changed?

- No Answer

Q1e - Has the information ever been verified in the field?

- Yes
 - Level 3.

Q2 - Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?

- No

Description

Q3 - If Classification is "Other", specify here

- Empty value

Q4 - Summary Description / Key Patterns and Elements

- Remains including a standing stone demonstrate that this area was occupied in early prehistory. A settlement had emerged by the medieval period, and a medieval church and early medieval incised stone still remain. Post-medieval buildings include chapels and a corn mill, but the area is distinctive due to its unusual (19th century) ribbon development along a straight road of the same period.

Q5 - If working at level 3, the classification describes the dominant historic pattern, but which other patterns are important to the historical pattern of this area? (Tick all that apply)

- Regular Fieldscapes
- Non-nucleated Settlement
- Other Settlement
- Communications

Q6 - If working at level 4, which other 'details' are also significant to the historic character of this area? (Please tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q7 - If working at level 4 only, which building types prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q8 - If working at level 4 only, which periods prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q9 - If working at level 4 only, which architectural types prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q10 - If working at level 4 only, which traditional walling materials prevail in the area? (tick all that apply)

- No Answer

Q11 - If working at level 4 only, which traditional roofing materials prevail in the area? (select up to three)

- No Answer

Q12 - Which traditional boundary types prevail in the area? (Tick all that apply)

- Dry Stone Walls

Q13 - What is the nature of any significant archaeological interest in the area? (Tick all that apply)

- Buildings & Structures
- Industrial Archaeology

Q14 - Which chronological period is dominant in the area?

- Post Medieval (1536+)
- Industrial

Q15 - Has a Historic Landscape Characterisation been undertaken here?

- No

Q16 - Are there SMR sites here?

- Yes

Q17 - Are there SAMs here?

- Yes

Q18 - Are there Listed Buildings here?

- Yes

Q19 - Are there Registered Historic Parks and Gardens here?

- No

Q20 - Are there Conservation Areas here?

- No

Q21 - Are there World Heritage Sites here?

- No

Q22 - Is the area within a Registered Landscape of Historic Interest?

- No

Evaluation

Q23 - Value:

- High
 - Of county value as an unusual (19th century) ribbon development along a straight road, with earlier antecedents, including Prehistoric occupation.

Q24 - Condition:

- Fair
 - Condition is generally fair.

Q25 - Trend:

- Constant

Recommendations

Q26 - Existing management

- Generally Appropriate

Q27 - Existing management remarks:

- Appropriately managed through the planning system.

Q28 - Principal management recommendations

- Support for existing systems.

Q29 - Guideline

- Medium Term
 - Support for existing systems.

Aspect Area Boundary

Q30 - To what level was this information site-surveyed?

- Level 3

Q31 - At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?

- All

Q32 - What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?

- OS Landline

Q33 - If OS Data was used, what was the scale?

- 1:10,000 and 1:25,000

Q34 - What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?

- Edge of distinctive settlement pattern.

Evaluation Matrix

Q35 - Evaluation Criteria: Integrity

- High
 - - as a mainly 19th century ribbon development along a 19th century road.

Q36 - Evaluation Criteria: Survival

- No Answer

Q37 - Evaluation Criteria: Condition

- Moderate

Q38 - Evaluation Criteria: Rarity

- High
 - Unusual in its ribbon development nature.

Q39 - Evaluation Criteria: Potential

- Unassessed

Q40 - Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation

- High
 - - as an unusual (19th century) ribbon development along a straight road, with earlier antecedents, including Prehistoric occupation.

Q41 - Justification of overall evaluation

- Of county value as an unusual (19th century) ribbon development along a straight road, with earlier antecedents, including Prehistoric occupation.

Bibliography

Q42 - List the key sources used for this assessment

- Refer to GAT technical report for principal texts.

Assessment

Q43 - Additional Assessments

- N/a.

Q44 - Additional Comments

- N/a.